

An Invitation to Statistics in Wasserstein Space

Shen Ziliang 2021213218

Shanghai University of Finance and Economics

January 29, 2022

- 1 Complementary Slackness
- 2 More General Cost Functions
- 3 The Wasserstein Space

- 1 Complementary Slackness
- 2 More General Cost Functions
- 3 The Wasserstein Space

Complementary Slackness

$$\int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} [c(x, y) - \varphi(x) - \psi(y)] d\pi(x, y) = 0$$

which is in turn equivalent to $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) = c(x, y)$ π -almost surely. It has already been established that there exists an optimal transference plan π^* . Assuming that $C(\pi^*) = \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} c(x, y) d\pi^*(x, y) < \infty$ (otherwise all transference plans are optimal), a pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi^c = \{\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x, y)\}$ is optimal if and only if $C(\pi^*) < \infty$ (otherwise all transference plans are optimal), a pair $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi^c$ is optimal if and only if

$$\varphi(x) + \psi(y) = c(x, y), \pi^* - \text{almost}$$

Conversely, if (φ_0, ψ_0) is an optimal pair, then π is optimal if and only if it is concentrated on the set

$$\{(x, y) : \varphi_0(x) + \psi_0(y) = c(x, y)\}$$

Unconstrained Dual Kantorovich Problem

Definiton : c - transform

$$\varphi^c(y) := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{X}} [c(x, y) - \varphi(x)]$$

is the largest possible ψ satisfying $(\varphi, \psi) \in \Phi^c = \{\varphi(x) + \psi(y) \leq c(x, y)\}$.
A function taking this form is called **c-concave**.

the dual problem can thus be formulated as **the unconstrained problem**:

$$\sup_{\varphi \in L_1(\mu)} \left[\int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi(x) d\mu + \int_{\mathcal{Y}} \varphi^c(y) d\nu \right]$$

One can apply this c -transform again and replace φ by

$$\varphi^{cc}(x) = (\varphi^c)^c(x) = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} [c(x, y) - \varphi^c(y)] \geq \varphi(x)$$

An elementary calculation shows that in general $\varphi^{ccc} = \varphi^c$. If $\varphi^c = \psi$ and $\psi^c = \varphi$; in words, φ and ψ are **c-conjugate**.

Unconstrained Dual Kantorovich Problem

Proposition 1.8.1 (Existence of an Optimal Pair)

Let μ and ν be probability measures on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} such that the independent coupling with respect to the nonnegative and lower semi-continuous cost function is finite: $\int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}} c(x, y) d\mu(x) d\nu(y) < \infty$. Then there exists an optimal pair (φ, ψ) for the dual Kantorovich problem. Furthermore, the pair can be chosen in a way that μ -almost surely, $\varphi = \psi^c$ and ν -almost surely, $\psi = \varphi^c$.

we see that if φ is optimal (for the unconstrained dual problem), then any optimal transference plan π^* must be concentrated on the set $\{(x, y) : \varphi(x) + \varphi^c(y) = c(x, y)\}$. If for μ -almost every x this equation defines y uniquely as a (measurable) function of x , then π^* is induced by a transport map.

The Kantorovich-Rubinstein Theorem

We have seen an example where c was the quadratic Euclidean distance. Here, we shall consider another useful case, where c is a metric. Assume that $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$, denote their metric by d , and let $c(x, y) = d(x, y)$. If $\varphi = \psi^c$ is c -concave, then it is 1-Lipschitz. Indeed, by definition and the triangle inequality.

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(z) &\leq \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} [d(z, y) - \psi(y)] \leq \inf_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} [d(x, y) + d(x, z) - \psi(y)] \\ &= \varphi(x) + d(x, z)\end{aligned}$$

Interchanging x and z yields $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(z)| \leq d(x, z)$
the duality formula takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned}\inf_{\varpi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathcal{X}^2} d(x, y) d\pi(x, y) &= \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{lip} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi d\mu - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi d\nu \right| \\ \|\varphi\|_{lip} &= \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)}{d(x, y)}\end{aligned}$$

Kantorovich-Rubinstein theorem

Under assumption above, Kantorovich problem is equivalent to

$$\sup\left\{\int_{\mathcal{X}} \varphi d(\mu - \nu) : \varphi \in \bigcup L^1(d|\mu - \nu|), \|\varphi\|_{lip} \leq 1\right\}$$

Moreover, it does not change the value of the supremum above to impose the additional condition that φ be bounded.

Content

- 1 Complementary Slackness
- 2 More General Cost Functions**
- 3 The Wasserstein Space

Cost Functions

We now return to the Euclidean case $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}^d$ and explore the structure of c-transforms.

definition: c-superdifferential

we can still apply the idea that $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) = c(x, y)$ if and only if the infimum (definition of c-transform) is attained at x . So that $\varphi(x) + \psi(y) = c(x, y)$ if and only if:

$$\varphi(z) - \varphi(x) \leq c(z, y) - c(x, y), z \in \mathcal{X}$$

we call the collection of y 's satisfying the above in equality the c-superdifferential of φ at x , and we denote it by $\partial^c \varphi$.

The following result generalises Theorem 1.6.2(Optimal Solution of Quadratic Cost in Euclidean Spaces) to other powers $p > 1$ of the Euclidean norm.

Theorem 1.8.2 (Strictly Convex Costs on \mathbb{R}^d)

Let $c(x, y) = h(x - y)$ with $h(v) = \|v\|^p/p$ for some $p > 1$ and let μ and ν be probability measures on \mathbb{R}^d with finite p -th moments such that μ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then the solution to the Kantorovich problem with cost function c is unique and induced from a transport map T . Furthermore, there exists an optimal pair (φ, φ^c) of the dual problem, with φ c -concave. The solutions are related by

$$T(x) = x - \nabla\varphi(x)\nabla\varphi(x)^{1/(p-1)-1}(\mu - a.s.).$$

Proof: (Assuming ν has CompactSupport).

- 1 **Step 1: φ is c-superdifferentiable.** Let π^* be an optimal coupling. By duality arguments, π is concentrated on the set of (x, y) such that $y \in \partial^c \varphi(x)$. Consequently, for μ -almost any x , the c-superdifferential of φ at x is nonempty.
- 2 **Step 2: φ is differentiable.** Here, we impose the additional condition that ν is compactly supported. Then h can be taken as a c-transform on the compact support of ν . Since h is locally Lipschitz (it is C^1 because $p > 1$) this implies that φ is locally Lipschitz. Hence, it is differentiable Lebesgue almost surely, and consequently μ -almost surely.
- 3 **Conclusion.**

For μ -almost every x there exists $y \in \partial^c \varphi(x)$ and a gradient $u = \nabla \varphi(x)$. In particular, u is a subgradient of φ :

$$\varphi(z) - \varphi(x) \geq \langle u, z - x \rangle + o(\|z - x\|).$$

Here and more generally, $o(\|z - x\|)$ denotes a function $r(z)$ (defined in a neighbourhood of x) such that $r(z)/\|z - x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow x$. (If φ were convex, then we could take $r \equiv 0$, so the definition for convex functions is equivalent, and then the inequality holds globally and not only locally.)

But $y \in \partial^c \varphi(x)$ means that as $z \rightarrow x$,

$$\begin{aligned} h(z - y) - h(x - y) &= c(z, y) - c(x, y) \geq \varphi(z) - \varphi(x) \\ &\geq \langle u, z - x \rangle + o(\|z - x\|). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, u is a subgradient of h at $x - y$. But h is differentiable with gradient $h(v) = v\|v\|^{p-2}$ (zero if $v = 0$). We obtain

$\nabla \varphi(x) = u = \nabla h(x - y)$ and since the gradient of h is invertible, we conclude

$$y = T(x) := x - (\nabla h)^{-1}[\nabla \varphi(x)],$$

which defines y as a (measurable) function of x . Hence, the optimal transference plan π is unique and induced from the transport map T .

1. It holds for a larger class of functions h , those that are strictly convex on \mathbb{R}^d (this yields that ∇h is invertible) Furthermore, if h is sufficiently smooth, namely $h \in C^{(1,1)}$ locally (it is if $p \geq 2$, but not if $p \in (1, 2)$), then μ does not need to be absolutely continuous;

2. It is also noteworthy that for strictly concave cost functions (e.g., $p \in (0, 1)$), the situation is similar when the supports of μ and ν are disjoint. The reason is that h may fail to be differentiable at 0, but it only needs to be differentiated at $x - y$ with $x \in \text{supp}\mu$ and $y \in \text{supp}\nu$. If the supports are not disjoint,

- 1 Complementary Slackness
- 2 More General Cost Functions
- 3 The Wasserstein Space

Definition: Wasserstein space

Let X be a separable Banach space. The p -Wasserstein space on X is defined as:

$$\mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mu \in P(\mathcal{X}) : \int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x\|^p d\mu(x) < \infty\}, p \geq 1$$

We will sometimes abbreviate and write simply \mathcal{W}_p instead of $\mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{X})$.

The p -Wasserstein distance between μ and ν is defined as the minimal total transportation cost between μ and ν in the Kantorovich problem with respect to the cost function $c_p(x, y) = \|x - y\|^p$: $W_p(\mu, \nu) = (\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} C_p(\pi))^{1/p} = (\inf_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \|x_1 - x_2\|^p d\pi(x_1, x_2))^{1/p}$. The Wasserstein distance between μ and ν is finite when both measures are in $\mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{X})$, because

$$\|x_1 - x_2\|^p \leq 2^p \|x_1\|^p + 2^p \|x_2\|^p$$

Topological Properties

Theorem 2.2.1 (Convergence in Wasserstein Space)

Let $\mu, \mu_n \in \mathcal{W}_p(X)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- 1 $W_p(\mu, \mu_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- 2 $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weakly and $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x\|^p d\mu_n(x) \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x\|^p d\mu(x)$
- 3 $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weakly and

$$\sup_n \int_{\{x: \|x\| > R\}} \|x\|^p d\mu_n(x) \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow \infty$$

- 4 for any $C > 0$ and any continuous $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq C(1 + \|x\|^p)$ for all x ,

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) d\mu_n(x) \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x) d\mu(x)$$

- 5 $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weakly and there exists $\nu \in \mathcal{W}_p(X)$ such that $W_p(\mu_n, \nu) \rightarrow W_p(\mu, \nu)$.

Topological Properties: Convergence, Compact Subsets

Corollary 2.2.2

Let $K \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a bounded set and suppose that $n(K) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then $W_p(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$ weakly.

Proposition 2.2.3 (Compact Sets in \mathcal{W}_p)

A weakly tight set $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p$ is Wasserstein tight (has a compact closure in \mathcal{W}_p) if and only if

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{K}} \int_{\{x: \|x\| > R\}} \|x\|^p d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0, R \rightarrow \infty$$

Moreover, condition above is equivalent to the existence of a monotonically divergent function $g: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

$$\sup_{\mu \in \mathcal{K}} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x\|^p g(\|x\|) d\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$$

Convergence, Compact Subsets

Remark 2.2.4 For any sequence (μ_n) in \mathcal{W}_p (tight or not) there exists a monotonically divergent g with $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \|x\|^p g(\|x\|) d\mu_n(x) < \infty$ for all n .

Corollary 2.2.5 (Measures with Common Support)

Let $K \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ be a compact set. Then

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{W}_p(K) = \{\mu \in P(\mathcal{X}) : \mu(K) = 1\} \subseteq \mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{X})$$

is compact.

Definition: Uniform Absolute Continuity (consequence of ui)

$\forall \epsilon \exists \delta \forall n \forall B \text{ or } A \subseteq \mathcal{X}, \mu_n(A) \leq \delta$ then:

$$\int_A \|x\|^p d\mu_n(x) \leq \epsilon$$

Dense Subsets and Completeness

Proposition 2.2.6 (Empirical Measures in \mathcal{W}_p)

For any $\mu \in P(\mathcal{X})$ and the corresponding sequence of empirical measures μ_n , $W_p(\mu_n, \mu) \rightarrow 0$ almost surely if and only if $\mu \in \rho(\mathcal{X})$.

Theorem 2.2.7 (Dense Subsets of \mathcal{W})

The following collections of measures are dense in $\mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{X})$:

- 1 finitely supported measures with rational weights;
- 2 compactly supported measures;
- 3 finitely supported measures with rational weights on a dense subset $A \subseteq X$;
- 4 if $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$, the collection of absolutely continuous and compactly supported measures;
- 5 if $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$, the collection of absolutely continuous measures with strictly positive and bounded analytic densities.

Dense Subsets and Completeness

In particular, \mathcal{W}_p is separable (the third set is countable as \mathcal{X} is separable).

Proposition 2.2.8 (Completeness)

The Wasserstein space $\mathcal{W}_p(\mathcal{X})$ is complete.